

# Issue69

**Title** Attributes on <result>

**Priority** bug      **Status** chatting

**Superseder**      **Nosy List** dburke, dburnett, eburger, oran, sarvi

**Assigned To** dburnett      **Topics**

Created on **2006-03-24.20:34:24** by **dburnett**, last changed **2006-03-24.20:34:24** by **dburnett**.

## Messages

**msg122 (view)**

**Author:** dburnett

**Date:** 2006-03-24.20:34:24

Supercedes [Issue 65-9](#).

From [Issue 65-9](#):

9. What does the grammar attribute on <result> mean in the context of verification?

[Sarvi>>] I believe this could contain the grammar URI that was matched with the RECOGNIZE command. But it probably wouldn't make much sense in many cases where there may not be an associated RECOGNIZE operation.

I think we should say that the result attribute should be ignored for verification results.

From Dave Burke on 3/21/06:

o Clarify that grammar attribute on <result> is not present for verification results.

From Dan Burnett on 3/24/06:

Actually, it could be. The original intent was to permit recognition, verification, and enrollment results within the same structure. Because originally we were referring to NLSML externally, we created the <extensions> and <result-type> elements (which are incorrectly left over in some of the examples) as a way to extend that external spec. However, those aren't actually defined anywhere and are redundant in the current spec where the contents of the enrollment and verification schemas are to be understood as going directly into the NLSML structure under the <result> element.

...

Technically we should now create just one XML schema showing a <result> element containing all of the possible result pieces, but I believe the chances of making a mistake at this point are greater than the value of creating the combined schema.

## History

Date	User	Action	Args
2006-03-24 20:34:27	dburnett	create	